

Canal Winchester

*City Hall
45 East Waterloo Street
Canal Winchester, OH 43110*



Meeting Minutes

June 27, 2022

7:00 PM

Landmarks Commission

*Pete Lynch - Chairman
David Craycraft – Vice-Chairman
Rich Dobda - Secretary
Roger White
Jamoya Cox
Dr. Scott Kelly
Whit Wardell*

Call To Order

Time In: 7:00pm

Declaring A Quorum (Roll Call)

A motion was made by Roger White, seconded by Peter Lynch, that David Craycraft, Jamoya Cox & Dr. Scott Kelly be excused from the meeting.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 4 – Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda & Whit Wardell

Excused: 3 – David Craycraft, Jamoya Cox & Dr. Scott Kelly

Approval of Minutes

May 23, 2022 Landmarks Commission Meeting Minutes

A motion was made by Peter Lynch, seconded by Rich Dobda, that the May 23 2022 Minutes be approved.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 4 – Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda & Whit Wardell

Excused: 3 – David Craycraft, Jamoya Cox & Dr. Scott Kelly

Pending Applications**CA-22-017**

Property Owner: Kassidy Spring
Applicant: Kassidy Spring
Location: 56 Washington Street
Request: New Shed

Mr. Moore presented the application for Kassidy Spring for 56 Washington Street. The applicant is requesting approval to demolish the existing shed in the rear yard to install a new 10'x14' prebuilt shed. Staff discussed that the existing building is smaller than the proposed but no plot plan has been submitted for review at this time. The new structure is made from Smartside siding and will feature 3-tab asphalt shingles and be painted an "Avocado" green with beige trim and clay accents.

Mr. Lynch asked the applicant if the building is going in the same location as the existing. The applicant affirmed.

Mr. White asked if there are windows on all four sides of the building. The applicant indicated that there are not. What is provided in the packet is the deluxe model and they are doing the standard model which does not include

the cupola, and it only has one window on the side and the front door. It does not include the double side doors as shown on this picture.

Mr. White asked if the building would have shutters. The applicant affirmed it will have shutters.

Mr. Lynch asked if there are options for the shingles. The applicant noted there are options available but the dark grey is the closest to what is on the rear of the home now. The front of the house is slate and the rear is a dark grey shingle.

Mr. Lynch asked if the shingles on the shed will be a dimensional shingle to match the reference photo rather than a 3-tab shingle. The applicant indicated they were going to go with a 3-tab shingle to match the rear of the home. Mr. Lynch noted that he highly recommends dimensional shingles over a 3-tab as it last longer and when the house needs new roof they can match it up.

A motion was made by Peter Lynch, seconded by Whit Wardell to approval Certificate of Appropriateness #CA-22-017 with the following condition:

- 1. The new shed have dimensional shingles to match the color of the shingles on the rear of the home.**

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 4 – Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda & Whit Wardell

CA-22-018

Property Owner: Stephen & Elaine Crumb
Applicant: Stephen & Elaine Crumb
Location: 34 East Mound Street
Request: New 3 foot fence

Mr. Moore presented the application for Stephen & Elaine Crumb for property located at 34 East Mound Street. The applicant is requesting approval for a new 3 foot wood picket fence in the front yard around the garden. Staff discussed that last year the applicant received approval to install a 5 foot wood picket fence in the rear yard and this one would be similar.

Mr. Lynch asked the applicant if the fence stops at the edge of the porch. Mr. Crumb affirmed.

Mr. White asked the applicant what material the fence would be made from. The applicant indicated it would be wood and the intent is to have a decorative white picket fence with a wide spacing. The area in front of the home is a fairy garden theme.

Mr. Lynch asked the applicant if they intend on installing an archway like the picture. The applicant affirmed.

Mr. White asked if there are any front yard fences along East Mound Street. Staff indicated they were unsure but know there is one on West Mound Street that is a wrought iron.

Mr. Lynch asked if there were any zoning considerations with the fence placement. Staff indicated that from what they can find the fence will be in their property and the maximum height for a front yard fence is 3 foot tall.

Mr. Lynch commented that the fence will look nice.

A motion was made by Roger White, seconded by Peter Lynch that Certificate of Appropriateness #CA-22-018 be approved as presented.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 4 – Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda & Whit Wardell

CA-22-019

Property Owner: John Dolan
Applicant: John Dolan
Location: 44 East Mound Street
Request: New 6 foot privacy fence

Mr. Moore presented the application for John Dolan for property located at 44 East Mound Street. The applicant is requesting approval for a new 6 foot wood privacy fence along a portion of the rear yard. The applicant currently has a chain-link fence in this location and is looking to replace the chain-link with wood panels while utilizing the steel fence posts that are cemented in the ground. The wood fence section is 64 feet and will tie into the neighboring fence to the west.

Mr. Dolan noted that Mike Gust would be helping him with the project and the fence posts are going to be wrapped with wood to enclose them.

Mr. Lynch asked if the fence is a cedar material. The applicant indicated it is a pressure treated pine from Lowes.

Mr. Lynch asked if the fence was going to be painted. The applicant stated not immediately as the other fences are natural.

The commission commented that they like a chain-link fence is being removed in the area.

Mr. Lynch asked if the neighbors fence is located on their property. The applicant indicated only a few inches where it ties into the existing chain link fence.

Mr. Lynch asked if the proposed fence will match the neighbors. The applicant affirmed that the new fence will be a dog ear fence to match.

Mr. White asked if the good side of the fence will be facing the neighbor. The applicant noted that they planned on the good side of the fence facing the interior of the property.

Mr. Lynch noted that typically the fence posts are on the interior of the property and asked the applicant which direction the neighbor's fence is facing. The applicant indicated that the fence posts on the neighbor's fence is facing their property. Mr. Lynch noted that their fence should be installed the same direction so it matches.

A motion was made by Whit Wardell, seconded by Peter Lynch to approve Certificate of Appropriateness #CA-22-019 as presented.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 4 – Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda & Whit Wardell

Old Business

Staff noted that Mr. Demkowicz at 70 East Columbus Street is here this evening to discuss potential design changes to the fence approved for is property.

Mr. White asked if there are any photo's that can be pulled up from the previous application. Staff indicated that they do not have the ability to pull up info in the new meeting location as everything has to be imported on a flash drive.

Mr. Demkowicz discussed that during the request to council to allow for the fence to be located in the right-of-way there were comments about having the open spears that close to the sidewalk. There is a fence design that has enclosed spears that is being requested for consideration. The fence design is a Regis model 3233 and it will be 42" in height. This design doesn't change the design much but makes it a little safer.

Mr. Demkowicz added that Council did give them permission to put the fence in the right-of-way in its current configuration, but a certificate of insurance that holds the city not liable for any damages is being required. The last remaining step is for P&Z Commission to approve a variance to allow for the height to be 42" tall.

Mr. Lynch commented that since the initial request early in 2021, there have been several applications for similar types of fences around town. Those other applications have been rejected by the commission due to the design of the fence not having historic details. Some historic wrought iron fences do have coverings over the spikes but they are typically scalloped. This is a totally different look than the Regis 3233 that was presented. The horizontal rail design

makes it a different style of fence. Lynch added that his recommendation is to stick with the previously approved 42" fence design that does not have the horizontal top rail.

Mr. Dobda noted that he does like a scalloped option as well.

Mr. Demkowicz commented he does not remember seeing a scalloped topped fence option from Regis. He is also not sure who makes a 42" tall scalloped fence design.

Mr. White noted that the commission would be able to give any approvals this evening as an application has not been filed.

Mr. Lynch noted that he does not anticipate the spikes on top being a problem as that design has been around for hundreds of years.

Mr. Demkowicz asked if his previous application approval was still valid. The commission affirmed.

New Business

Staff informed the commission that NAPA is moving from their current location at 103 W Waterloo to the renovated building at 152 West Waterloo Street. The commission asked if the existing building is for sale. Staff noted that is what they understand. The commission asked if they have thought about signage at the new location. Staff indicated they believe that the signage will be similar to what was shown on the concept drawings for the remodel and that would be regulated by the landlord.

Staff discussed upcoming projects with the commission.

Adjournment

Time Out: 7:37pm

A motion was made by Peter Lynch and seconded by Roger White, that this meeting be adjourned.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: 4 – Peter Lynch, Roger White, Rich Dobda & Whit Wardell

Date

Landmarks Chairman